

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/624,173	BAUMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Devin Hanan	3745	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Devin Hanan.

(3) _____.

 (2) Raymond Scott (22,981).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 August 2007
Time: 230PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:


O'Connor et al. and Chancellor et al.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant agreed to amend claim one to include an outlet in the housing element and a description of the shielding element as substantially flat and not in contact with the carrier to better describe the invention.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)